

Appointment

From: Chiu, Enid [Chiu.Enid@epa.gov]
Sent: 3/12/2019 3:07:54 PM
To: Teter, Royan [Teter.Royan@epa.gov]; Rosenblatt, Daniel [Rosenblatt.Dan@epa.gov]; Kenny, Daniel [Kenny.Dan@epa.gov]; Baris, Reuben [Baris.Reuben@epa.gov]; Schmid, Emily [Schmid.Emily@epa.gov]
Subject: Glyphosate discussion
Location: DCRoomPYS7731E/Potomac-Yard-One

Start: 3/21/2019 1:00:00 PM
End: 3/21/2019 2:00:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Required Attendees: Teter, Royan; Rosenblatt, Daniel; Kenny, Daniel; Baris, Reuben; Schmid, Emily

When the topic of glyphosate getting press attention came up at the OPP general, Rick had requested a draft desk statement. Let's meet to discuss EPA's position on this subject. See the email thread below for additional context.

Call-in:

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Passcode:

From: Teter, Royan
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 1:38 PM
To: Kenny, Daniel <Kenny.Dan@epa.gov>; Rosenblatt, Daniel <Rosenblatt.Dan@epa.gov>; Baris, Reuben <Baris.Reuben@epa.gov>
Cc: Chiu, Enid <Chiu.Enid@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: DTN Glyphosate Article

Hi Dan – I'll be out of the office from about 3:30 this afternoon until the 19th. I could participate in a conference call while out if held between 0800 and 0830 Eastern. Otherwise, shoot me something for after the 19th.

From: Kenny, Daniel
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 11:51 AM
To: Teter, Royan <Teter.Royan@epa.gov>; Rosenblatt, Daniel <Rosenblatt.Dan@epa.gov>; Baris, Reuben <Baris.Reuben@epa.gov>
Cc: Chiu, Enid <Chiu.Enid@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: DTN Glyphosate Article

Hi Royan. I'd be happy to discuss. We tried to be clear on our response to the reporter that the bottom line is that the label must be followed, so I was not expecting that to be a controversial response. If we need to, we could discuss the fact that trait-specific labeling is not an EPA requirement; rather, we review what is proposed to us and grant if it is safe.

Dan

P.S. If not in connection with this, we may want to discuss an issue anyway regarding an item that we think should have been clearly submitted to EPA by Monsanto/Bayer on dicamba as 6(a)(2) but has not yet (I believe it is beyond any deadlines now imposed by 6(a)(2) requirements. We have some evidence that a study was submitted to them by researchers in Georgia for purposed of identifying 6(a)(2) information. Let me know if you'd like to discuss this. -DK

From: Teter, Royan
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 8:52 AM
To: Rosenblatt, Daniel <Rosenblatt.Dan@epa.gov>; Kenny, Daniel <Kenny.Dan@epa.gov>; Baris, Reuben <Baris.Reuben@epa.gov>
Cc: Chiu, Enid <Chiu.Enid@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: DTN Glyphosate Article
Importance: High

Hello All – It looks like the question of which Glyphosate products can be used on which varieties of Glyphosate-resistant crops is getting some coverage out there. The spin on our interpretation is a bit negative and I suspect the level of understanding of this issue across the SLAs and Regions is less than universal. We've started some informal conversation with our OC colleagues. Before briefing the issue much higher, we thought we'd check in with OPP for thoughts on the value of preparing a desk statement for use across the Agency and/or publishing a compliance alert. Please share your thoughts or let me know if a brainstorming session would be beneficial. I'd be happy to get something on calendars.

Thanks,

Royan

From: Calvo, Estrella
Sent: Friday, March 8, 2019 2:14 PM
To: Teter, Royan <Teter.Royan@epa.gov>; Ambrosino, Helene <Ambrosino.Helene@epa.gov>; Baris, Reuben <Baris.Reuben@epa.gov>
Cc: Klevs, Mardi <klevs.mardi@epa.gov>; Jones, Margaret <jones.margaret@epa.gov>; Star, David <star.david@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: DTN Glyphosate Article
Importance: High

All,

Back in February 2019, Region 5 received a request for guidance from the Ohio Department of Agriculture (ODA). The guidance ODA was seeking surrounds the use of pesticide products labeled for use on "Round up Ready Crops" and the potential for its use on the new glyphosate resistant soybean, LLGT27. In an attempt to provide guidance to ODA we convened a call with Ruben Baris of OPP and ODA. Subsequent to the call we continued to work on a response for ODA.

We later became aware of a press inquiry received by OPP that seemed to be seeking the same information to that of ODA. So, we contacted Ruben again to find out if we could share OPP's statement with ODA and we were advised that we could convey the message without block copying. Region 5 modified the messaging and shared it with ODA. After sending the guidance to ODA it was also shared with Royan Teter, OCE.

Since then, we have become aware that BASF and Bayer are issuing conflicting statements. BASF is stating that "...glyphosate labels should not be limited to certain branded glyphosate-tolerant crops" and "...EPA label language should not be restricted to certain branded glyphosate-tolerant crops (e.g., Roundup Ready). Bayer is stating, "...Roundup brand agricultural herbicides are not currently registered for use over the top of LibertyLink GT27 soybeans. As such, the use of Roundup brand agricultural herbicides over the top of LLGT27 are not allowed...The only type of crop currently labeled on the Roundup brand agricultural herbicides labels for over the top usage are Roundup Ready Crops." See attached for communications from BASF and Bayer that were shared with us by way of ODA.

ODA now anticipates it will likely begin to receive calls about what pesticides can be used on LLGT27 soybeans and have contacted Region 5 to assist with pesticide label interpretations for a number of pesticide labels already. Has OPP, OCE or OC considered what message needs to be shared publicly to address the inconsistencies in registrant messaging? Let us know if we need to meet to discuss this issue further or if this is something OPP, OCE and OC are already working

towards addressing. I advised ODA that I would follow-up with them early next week, so if you could let us know as soon as possible that would be great. Thank you.

DTN quotes EPA's emailed statement:

<https://www.dtnpf.com/agriculture/web/ag/news/crops/article/2018/10/23/manage-indianmeal-moth>

OSU quotes EPA, Region 5's emailed statement:

<https://agcrops.osu.edu/newsletter/corn-newsletter/2019-05/what%E2%80%99s-legal-apply-ll-gt27-soybean-%E2%80%93-maybe-almost-final-story>

Seems we left them scratching their heads and looking for the "head scratching emoji".

Regards,

Estrella Calvo

Estrella Calvo
Section Chief
Pesticides Section
U.S. EPA, Region 5 (LC-17J)
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604

Phone: (312) 353-8931

Fax: (312) 582-5157

calvo.estrella@epa.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE – UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION

This message is intended exclusively for the individual(s) of entity(s) to which it is addressed. This communications may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete all copies of the message. This response and the contents of the information/summary/factsheets/publications/reports provided do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the U.S. EPA, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products. The inclusion of web links to sites describing such materials do not constitute U. S. EPA's endorsement or recommendation for use.

From: Matthew.Beal@agri.ohio.gov <Matthew.Beal@agri.ohio.gov>

Sent: Friday, March 08, 2019 9:10 AM

To: Calvo, Estrella <calvo.estrella@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: DTN Glyphosate Article

Matt Beal
Pesticide/Fertilizer Administrator
Ohio Department of Agriculture
614-728-6383
beal@agri.ohio.gov

From: Gates, Brett

Sent: Friday, March 08, 2019 9:38 AM

To: Kenny, Daniel <daniel.kenny@agri.ohio.gov>; Beal, Matthew <Matthew.Beal@agri.ohio.gov>

Subject: DTN Glyphosate Article

Let me know if any issues, I was fine with our part.

<https://www.dtnpf.com/agriculture/web/ag/news/crops/article/2018/10/23/manage-indianmeal-moth>

This message and any response to it may constitute a public record and thus may be publicly available to anyone who requests it...